

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,230 11/12/2003		Hisanori Shirai		3553	
23364	7590	05/10/2006		EXAMINER	
BACON &		S, PLLC	VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT		
625 SLATEF FOURTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDE	RIA, VA	22314	3618		
·			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/705,230	SHIRAI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Frank Vanaman	3618		

		Frank Vanaman	3618	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THF R	EPLY FILED <u>24 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
I. ⊠ T tł p a	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or or a sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant me periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) [2 b) [	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
nave be under 3 set forth may rec	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
fi a	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a	a) X They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	OTE below);	
•	(0) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	• •		
,	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		•	the issues for
(0	$\operatorname{H}) igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
=	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
3. 🗍	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🛛 f h T C C	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prohe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: 1 and 3-7. laim(s) objected to:		rill be entered and an e	explanation of
	laim(s) rejected: 2.			
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
3. 🔲 T b	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the affidation of th	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
Э. 🔲 Т е	the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	, , ,	•
	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. 🗌	The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
_	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	F. Vanaman Primary Examiner	to for
			Art Unit 3618	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed changes to claim 2 appear to introduce a 35 USC §112, second paragraph condition different from that set forth previously and further do not appear to place the application in better condition for appeal.